

The *Karenia mikimotoi* bloom devastating South Australia's coast is more than a crisis — it's a test of the people who dedicate their lives to protecting our seas.

While headlines focus on dead fish and discoloured waters, dozens of scientists and citizen scientists are working quietly and tirelessly

#MarineScience #KareniaBloom #ClimateChange #SouthAustralia #OceanHealth #LeafySeadragon #SupportScience #Leadership #Biodiversity #AMSA

The quiet courage behind South Australia's algal bloom response

The *Karenia mikimotoi* bloom sweeping South Australia is not just a <u>headline</u> — it's a grief that plays out across beaches, reefs and fishing towns. Satellite maps and frontline surveys now show this toxic blanket stretching thousands of square kilometres and killing tens of thousands of animals. Scientists describe scenes that are hard to forget: reefs choked with discoloured water, seagrass beds losing life, and iconic species — from rays to leafy seadragons — washing up on shores that once teemed with colour.

Behind the statistics are teams of people working long, often unseen shifts to turn data into action. They're the researchers wading into chilly winter oceans to collect water samples; the modellers running particle-trajectory forecasts late at night; the lab teams typing up toxin assays before dawn. Their work is fast, painstaking and sometimes heartbreaking — because the results are often a list of losses. The Great Southern Reef Foundation is photographers and biologists, led in the field by people such as @Stefan Andrews, have been documenting the toll and helping stitch together a public record

of what this bloom looks like on the ground. Their images and reports tell stories that no map alone can convey.

At the same time, university and government scientists — Maria and Mark? No: real names matter here — people like @Prof. Mike Steer and the teams at SARDI have been coordinating sampling, oceanographic modelling and laboratory analysis to understand where the bloom started, how it's spreading, and what it might do next. Their oceanographic models, field observations and rapid-response sampling underpin movement forecasts used by agencies and fishers alike. Those forecasts can mean the difference between an area being closed for public health or kept open, and they take expertise that has been built over decades.

Scientists working in the <u>public eye</u> — like ecologist @Faith Coleman, who has been helping coastal communities make sense of what they're seeing — are also doing the emotional labour of translating technical risk into language that local people can act on. That communication is vital: communities need to know when to avoid the water, when to be alert for sick wildlife, and how to report new incidents so baseline data can be improved. These outreach efforts anchor science in the lived experience of towns that depend on healthy seas.

Some researchers bring a national voice to the crisis. The @Biodiversity Council and a coalition of marine scientists recently released a <u>seven-point plan</u> outlining urgent actions needed to respond to the outbreak and prepare for more dangerous events in future. That document — compiled by researchers from multiple universities and organisations — stresses that this bloom is part of a broader pattern of marine heatwaves and nutrient inputs that we must address systemically. When scientists step out of the lab to call for coordinated action, they do so not to be alarmist but because the evidence points to choices our governments and communities must make now.

Researchers such as @Zoe Doubleday have also sounded alarms about species at particular risk. Zoe's work on the giant Australian cuttlefish and other locally restricted populations has made the potential loss of those breeding aggregations painfully real for many people — and underscores how some impacts aren't just about numbers, they're about irreplaceable natural phenomena. Her <u>public</u> <u>pieces and media interviews</u> help connect the science to the cultural and economic value of these animals.

Also working largely behind the scenes is @Janine Baker, whose dedication to documenting biodiversity loss has been instrumental during this crisis. Through her meticulous efforts for the @iNaturalist platform, Janine has been identifying and cataloguing the many species affected by the bloom — from fish and invertebrates to charismatic marine icons. This work not only builds a detailed scientific record of the mortality event but also mobilises a network of citizen scientists, empowering community members to contribute valuable data. Janine's efforts ensure that the ecological toll of the bloom is measured not just in broad terms, but in the specific species and life histories that have been lost — a vital step in understanding, remembering, and ultimately preventing such devastation in the future.

Yet while the public sees the dramatic footage and reads the news stories, the personal cost to scientists is less visible. Leading voices like @Professor Gretta Pecl — who has written and spoken about the emotional toll of climate-linked ecological crises — remind us that many environmental scientists carry a heavy mental-health load. Watching ecosystems you study suffer, repeatedly, is not

an abstract stressor; it's an existential strain. Professional colleagues and organisations have been calling for better support and acknowledging burnout as a real occupational hazard.

Local events such as the recent <u>Australian Marine Sciences Association</u> (AMSA) SA Branch meeting, Resurfacing & Reconnecting, organised by the superbly conscientious, @Craig Styan give scientists a chance to support each other. The simple act of having a forum to share their thoughts and concerns with fellow scientists reduces the sense of isolation and encourages problem-solving and agency.

Even within state agencies there are small acts of recognition. The Environment Protection Authority of South Australia has highlighted the work of staff such as @Sam Gaylard in their communications — a welcome reminder that agency scientists and regulators are also people who have spent their careers building monitoring programs and advice systems that now prove indispensable. But Sam does not work along, he is supported by a team of dedicated scientists who rarely see the glow of the public spotlight.

There are other practical pressures, too. Many of the scientists on the frontlines are employed on short-term contracts or in roles that don't reflect the economic value of their expertise. They didn't go into science for pay or publicity. They trained for years to be able to read the ocean's signs and to offer evidence-based options when communities ask "What can we do?" That dedication matters; but it also means our collective resilience is built on a workforce that often lacks long-term security and, for some, adequate pay. Calls for <u>sustained investment in monitoring</u>, <u>laboratories</u> and science careers are about protecting people as much as they're about protecting ecosystems.

If there is a practical lesson in this grief it is that science is a social endeavour. It asks for community reporting, for fishers and divers to share observations; it asks for governments to fund baseline monitoring rather than only funding emergency fixes; and it asks for the public to look beyond the shocking images and honour the slow work of people who pick up samples, write reports, and argue for sensible policy. The list of names above is far from complete — there are dozens more field technicians, lab managers, agency officers and citizen scientists whose contributions deserve the same recognition. Collectively they are doing the work that turns sorrow into the possibility of action.

Finally, compassion is not optional. We can demand better policy and accountability, while also making space to thank the people who wake before dawn to get the samples, who spend late nights compiling evidence, and who face anguished communities with calm, clear information. A simple public thank-you, real investment in long-term science jobs, and a commitment to stronger monitoring and pollution controls would be practical ways to honour their labour — and to make sure South Australia's next generation of scientists can continue to do this work without paying so high a personal price.